## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

TO: 🛛 U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE / 🗆 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE: The Hon. Cynthia Bashant

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
FRC	OM: M. Lozano, Deputy Clerk	RECEIVED DATE: July 17, 2017
CAS	SE NO. 16cv0967-BAS-NLS	DOC FILED BY: Anton Ewing
CASE TITLE: Ewing v. Lend Mark Capital Group Inc. et al		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice of Motion/Motion to Dismiss		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
OTHER: Case Closed, all named parties dismissed per ECF dkt #9		
Civ.L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1 - Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules / Documents are not timely;		
Date Forwarded: July 17, 2017		
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
$\boxtimes$	The document is NOT to be filed. But instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
Rejected documents to be returned to pro se or inmate? $\ \square$ Yes. Court copy retained by chambers $\ \square$		
Any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.1 or Criminal Local Rule 57.1.		
	•	Criminal Local Rule 57.1.
Date:	Civil Local Rule 83.1 or	Criminal Local Rule 57.1.  S OF: The Honorable Cynthia Bashant